

## A NON-MEASURABLE SET: THE VITALI'S SET

In the closed interval  $I := [0, 1]$  we consider the equivalence relation:

$$xGy: x - y \in \mathbf{Q}.$$

Let  $X$  be the quotient set of this equivalence relation. By the Choice Axiom, there exists a Choice Function  $\phi: 2^I \rightarrow I$  such that  $\phi(x) \in x$  for every  $x \in I$ . We define

$$S := \bar{\phi}(X).$$

The set  $S$  is called *Vitali's Set*. The set  $S$  has the following properties:

- (1)  $S \cap G_x \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in I$
- (2)  $S \cap G_x \approx \mathbf{N}_1$ .

In other words,  $S$  intersects every equivalence class in a single point.

### Proposition 1.

- (i) Given  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{Q}$  such that  $q_1 \neq q_2$ , we have  $(q_1 + S) \cap (q_2 + S) = \emptyset$ .
- (ii)  $\bigcup_{q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [-1, 1]} (q + S) \supseteq I$
- (iii)  $q + S \subseteq [-1, 2]$  for every  $q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [-1, 1]$ .

*Proof.*

- (i) Suppose that  $(q_1 + S) \cap (q_2 + S) \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $r \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$r = q_1 + s_1 = q_2 + s_2 \Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \Rightarrow s_1 G s_2.$$

By (2),  $s_1 = s_2$  which implies  $q_1 = q_2$ .

- (ii) given  $x \in I$ , we have  $x \in G_x$ , its equivalence class. By (1),  $\exists s \in S \cap G_x$ . Then  $x = q + s$  for some  $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Since  $s, x \in I$ , then  $q \in [-1, 1]$  and  $x \in q + S$
- (iii) since  $S \subseteq I$  and  $q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [-1, 1]$ , we have  $q + S \subseteq [-1, 2]$ . □

Since  $\mathbf{Q} \cap [-1, 1] \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$ , the set  $\mathbf{Q} \cap [-1, 1]$  is countable. Then, there exists a bijective function  $q$  from  $\mathbf{N}$  to  $\mathbf{Q} \cap [-1, 1]$ . We write

$$\mathbf{Q} \cap [-1, 1] = \{q_n \mid 1 \leq n\}.$$

**Theorem 1.**  $S$  is not measurable.

*Proof.* If  $S \in \mathcal{M}$  then  $q_n + S \in \mathcal{M}$  for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $m(q_n + S) = m(S)$ . Since  $S \subseteq [0, 2]$ ,  $S$  has finite measure, by the monotonicity property of the measure. By (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 1

$$[0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (q_n + S) \subseteq [-1, 2].$$

Then

$$1 \leq m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (q_n + S)\right) \leq 3.$$

From (i) all the sets  $q_n + S$  are disjoint from each other. By the  $\sigma$ -additivity of the outer measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$1 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(q_n + S) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(S) \leq 3.$$

Since we are taking the series of a constant sequence, we should have  $m(S) = 0$ . However, this contradicts

$$1 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(S).$$

□