

Unique Continuation and Inverse Problems

Summer School*, Kopp

September 2 - September 7, 2018

Organizers:

Herbert Koch (RFWU Bonn)

Angkana Rland (MPI MIS Leipzig)

Christoph Thiele, Universität Bonn

*supported by Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, Bonn

Contents

1	Estimates for a $\bar{\partial}$-problem	
	Sections 2, 3 up to Lemma 3.7 from [1]	3
	Gianmarco Brocchi, University of Birmingham	3
1.1	Introduction	3
1.2	New bounds on fractional integrals	4
1.3	Estimates on pointwise multiplier	5
1.4	A $\bar{\partial}$ -problem	6

1 Estimates for a $\bar{\partial}$ -problem

Sections 2, 3 up to Lemma 3.7 from [1]

*A summary written by Gianmarco Brocchi
after Adrian Nachman, Idan Regev, and Daniel Tataru [1]*

Abstract

We study solvability of the inhomogeneous problem $L_q u = f$, where $L_q u := \bar{\partial}u + q\bar{u}$, and $q \in L^2$. The authors prove new pointwise bounds for fractional integrals and pseudo-differential operators with non-smooth symbols, as well as new estimates for pointwise multiplier in negative Besov spaces.

1.1 Introduction

Consider the problem

$$\bar{\partial}u + q\bar{u} = f. \tag{1}$$

Indicate with L_q the operator $\bar{\partial} + q\bar{\cdot}$. We want to study the inverse operator L_q^{-1} , particularly the dependence on q of the operator norm $\|L_q^{-1}\|$.

Let $s \in [0, 1)$. The operator $\bar{\partial}: \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \dot{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{C})$, as well as the multiplication by q for $q \in L^{1/s}(\mathbb{C})$. When $s = \frac{1}{2}$, $q \in L^2$ and we have

$$L_q: \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Our aim is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Given $q \in L^2$, for every $f \in \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ there exists a unique solution $u = L_q^{-1}f$ to the problem (1), obeying the following bound:*

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|L_q^{-1}\| \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Moreover, the operator norm only depends on the L^2 -norm of q :

$$\|L_q^{-1}\| \lesssim C(\|q\|_2).$$

Solutions of equation (1) are related to the Scattering Transform used in [1] to study the defocusing Davey-Stewartson II equation. New bounds on $\bar{\partial}^{-1}$ and on pointwise multiplication are needed to apply Inverse-Scattering methods in this settings.

1.2 New bounds on fractional integrals

In the following, Mf is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function.

Theorem 2. *Let $\alpha \in (0, d)$, and $p \in (1, 2]$. For any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have:*

$$a) |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x)| \lesssim_{d,\alpha} \lambda^{d-\alpha} M\hat{f}(0) + \lambda^{-\alpha} Mf(x) \quad \text{for any } \lambda > 0;$$

$$b) |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f(x)| \lesssim_{d,\alpha} \left(M\hat{f}(0)\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} \left(Mf(x)\right)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{d}}.$$

In order to apply the result to the Scattering transform, we rewrite point b) using $e^{iy\xi} f(y)$ as function of y in place of f . Then

$$b) |(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} (e^{iy\xi} f(y))(x)| \lesssim_{d,\alpha} \left(M\hat{f}(\xi)\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} \left(Mf(x)\right)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{d}}.$$

We are mainly interested in the case $d = 2$, $\alpha = 1$.

Corollary 3. *For $q \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$ we have*

$$b') |\bar{\partial}^{-1}(e^{-i(zk+\bar{z}\bar{k})} q(z))(x)| \lesssim \left(M\hat{q}(k)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(Mq(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$c) \|\bar{\partial}^{-1}(e^{-i(zk+\bar{z}\bar{k})} q(z))\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(M\hat{q}(k)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We use Theorem 2 to show L^2 -boundness for a class of pseudo-differential operators (PDOs) with non-smooth symbols.

Theorem 4. *Let $\alpha \in [0, d)$, and $a(x, \xi)$ be a symbol on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that*

$$\text{i) } a \in L^{\frac{2d}{d-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d), \text{ and}$$

$$\text{ii) } \|(-\Delta_\xi)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} a(x, \xi)\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{2d}{d+\alpha}}} \in L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-\alpha}}$$

then the pseudo-differential operator

$$a(x, D)f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}$$

is bounded on L^2 . We have the following bounds:

$$\|a(x, D)f\|_{L^2} \lesssim_{\alpha,d} \|(-\Delta_\xi)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} a(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^{\frac{2d}{d-\alpha}} L_\xi^{\frac{2d}{d+\alpha}}} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

$$|a(x, D)f(x)| \lesssim_{\alpha,d} (Mf(x))^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} \|(-\Delta_\xi)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} a(x, \cdot)\|_{L_\xi^{\frac{2d}{d+\alpha}}} \|f\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{d}} \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

1.3 Estimates on pointwise multiplier

By the Sobolev embedding $\dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $p^* = \frac{2d}{d-2r}$. We embed the dual space $L^{(p^*)'}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^{-r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To show the continuity of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^d) &\rightarrow \dot{H}^{-r}(\mathbb{R}^d) \\ u &\mapsto qu \end{aligned}$$

it is enough to prove that it maps continuously L^{p^*} into its dual. This follows from the embeddings above and Hölder's inequality:

$$\|qu\|_{\dot{H}^{-r}} \lesssim \|qu\|_{(p^*)'} \leq \|q\|_p \|u\|_{p^*} \lesssim \|q\|_p \|u\|_{\dot{H}^r}. \quad (2)$$

It gives $q \in L^p$, with $p = d/2r$. In our case ($\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$) from (2) we have

$$\|qu\|_{\dot{H}^{-r}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|q\|_{L^{\frac{1}{r}}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

We can improve the above estimate, trading regularity with integrability, by putting q in a negative homogeneous Besov space. The norm of $\dot{B}_q^{s,p}$ is

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_q^{s,p}} = \|2^{sk} \|P_k f\|_{L^p}\|_{\ell_q}$$

where P_k is the Littlewood-Paley projector. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $r \in [0, 1)$ and $\max\{2, \frac{d}{r}\} \leq p < \frac{d}{2r}$. Then*

$$\|qu\|_{\dot{H}^{-r}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|q\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\frac{d}{2r}-2r}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^r(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Note: The space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{d}{2r}-2r}$ has the same scaling of $L^{\frac{d}{2r}}$, but negative regularity.

Sketch of the proof. Write

$$qu = \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathcal{A}} P_{k_1} ((P_{k_2} q)(P_{k_3} u)),$$

where P_k is the frequency projector to $A_k = \{2^{j-1} < |\xi| < 2^{j+1}\}$, and

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : A_{k_1} \cap (A_{k_2} + A_{k_3}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Estimate $\|qu\|_{\dot{H}^{-r}}$ using Bernstein inequalities and Littlewood-Paley trichotomy. \square

1.4 A $\bar{\partial}$ -problem

In order to prove Theorem 1, we have to show that, for $q \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$, L_q is invertible from $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{C})$ to $\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{C})$. We recall two known bounds on $\bar{\partial}^{-1}$:

Lemma 6. i) *Let $p \in (1, 2)$, and $1/p^* = 1/p - 1/2$. For $h \in L^p$ we have*

$$\|\bar{\partial}^{-1}h\|_{L^{p^*}} \lesssim_p \|h\|_{L^p} \quad (3)$$

ii) *Let $1 < p_1 < 2 < p_2$ and $f \in L^{p_1} \cap L^{p_2}$, then*

$$\|\bar{\partial}^{-1}f\|_{\infty} \lesssim_{p_1, p_2} \|f\|_{L^{p_1}} + \|f\|_{L^{p_2}}.$$

The inverse operator L_q^{-1} is well defined from $L^{\frac{4}{3}}$ to L^4 .

Lemma 7. *Let $q \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$. Then $L_q u = f$ has an unique solution for $f \in L^{\frac{4}{3}}$. In particular, the operator $L_q: L^4 \rightarrow L^{\frac{4}{3}}$ is invertible.*

Idea. By the previous result, $\bar{\partial}^{-1}: L^{\frac{4}{3}} \rightarrow L^4$ continuously. We write

$$L_q = \bar{\partial}(I + \bar{\partial}^{-1}(q\bar{\cdot})) =: \bar{\partial} \circ \mathcal{B}.$$

Then it is enough to show the existence of an unique solution to $\mathcal{B}u = \bar{\partial}^{-1}f$ for $f \in L^{\frac{4}{3}}$. In other words, if $\mathcal{B}: L^4 \rightarrow L^4$ is invertible, the unique solution to $L_q u = f$ is given by $u = \mathcal{B}^{-1}\bar{\partial}^{-1}f$.

Proof. Since the operator $\bar{\partial}^{-1}(q\bar{\cdot})$ is compact from L^4 to itself, the operator $\mathcal{B} := (I + \bar{\partial}^{-1}(q\bar{\cdot}))$ is Fredholm, in particular \mathcal{B} is injective iff is surjective. We prove that \mathcal{B} is injective. Let $u \in L^4$ such that $\mathcal{B}u = 0$, i.e. $\bar{\partial}u = -q\bar{u}$. Write $q = q_n + q_s$, where q_s has small L^2 -norm to be determined. We can choose $\nu \in L^\infty$ such that (!) holds¹ in the following

$$\bar{\partial}(u\nu) = (\bar{\partial}u)\nu + u\bar{\partial}\nu \stackrel{(!)}{=} (\bar{\partial}u + q_n\bar{u})\nu \stackrel{(*)}{=} (-q_s\bar{u})\nu$$

where (*) holds since $\bar{\partial}u = -q\bar{u}$. Then, using bound (3) on $\bar{\partial}^{-1}$, we have

$$\|u\nu\|_{L^4} \leq c\|\bar{\partial}(u\nu)\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} = c\|q_s\bar{u}\nu\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \leq c\|q_s\|_{L^2}\|u\nu\|_{L^4} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\nu\|_{L^4}$$

where in the last inequality we chose q_s with $\|q_s\|_{L^2} \leq (2c)^{-1}$. This shows that, if $\mathcal{B}(u\nu) = 0$, $u\nu = 0$, so $\ker(\mathcal{B}) = \{0\}$. \square

¹Choose ν as solution of the equation

$$\bar{\partial}\nu = q_n\frac{\bar{u}}{u}.$$

The same result holds when we consider L_q^{-1} on Sobolev spaces.

Lemma 8. For $q \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$ the operator $L_q: \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is invertible and

$$\|L_q^{-1}f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(q)\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

We now study the dependence of L_q^{-1} and $C(q)$ on q .

Lemma 9. The constant $C(q)$ has a local Lipschitz dependence on q . Given $q_0 \in L^2$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $q_1, q_2 \in B(q_0, \epsilon)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{q_1}^{-1} - L_{q_2}^{-1}\| &\lesssim C(q_0)^2 \|q_1 - q_2\|_{L^2} \\ |C(q_1) - C(q_2)| &\lesssim C(q_0)^2 \|q_1 - q_2\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that the bound on $C(q)$ is uniform for q in a bounded set in L^2 . Denote with

$$C(R) := \sup\{C(q) : \|q\|_2 \leq R\}, \quad C: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty].$$

The previous lemma, taking $q_0 = 0$, showed that $C(R)$ is finite for small R . We shall prove that it is finite for all $R > 0$. Argue by contradiction: let

$$R_0 := \inf\{R \in \mathbb{R}_+ : C(R) = +\infty\}.$$

Then $\lim_{R \rightarrow R_0} C(R) = +\infty$, and there exists a sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_{R_0}$ such that $\|q_n\|_2 \rightarrow R_0$, with $\|L_{q_n}^{-1}\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} +\infty$. If we were able to extract a convergent subsequence $\{q_{n_k}\}$ we would have

$$q_{n_k} \xrightarrow{L^2} q, \quad \text{and} \quad \|L_{q_k}^{-1}\| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \|L_q^{-1}\| < +\infty$$

leading to a contradiction, since R_0 was minimal. Unfortunately, we cannot hope to extract a subsequence converging in L^2 .

Symmetries: obstruction to compactness Translations and dilations are symmetries of the problem that preserve the L^2 -norm. Indicate with $S(\lambda, y)q(x) = \lambda q(\lambda(x - y))$. One has

$$C(q) = C(S(\lambda, y)q).$$

To prove finiteness of $C(R)$, it would suffice to show *compactness up to symmetries* of $\{q_n\}$ in a *weaker* topology.

Definition 10. *The sequence $\{q_n\}$ is compact up to symmetries if there exists a sequence $\{(\lambda_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{S(\lambda_n, y_n)q_n\}$ is compact.*

Using Theorem 5, we can extend the result of Lemma 9 to a larger space: the Besov space $\dot{B}_\infty^{-\frac{1}{3}, 3}$.

Lemma 11. *Given $q_0 \in L^2$, there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(C(q_0)) > 0$ such that for $q_1, q_2 \in \{q : \|q - q_0\|_{B_\infty^{-\frac{1}{3}, 3}} < \epsilon\}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{q_1}^{-1} - L_{q_2}^{-1}\| &\lesssim C(q_0)^2 \|q_1 - q_2\|_{B_\infty^{-\frac{1}{3}, 3}} \\ |C(q_1) - C(q_2)| &\lesssim C(q_0)^2 \|q_1 - q_2\|_{B_\infty^{-\frac{1}{3}, 3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using profile decomposition we can split $\{q_n\}$ in different pieces driven by different symmetries and conclude the proof.

References

- [1] Adrian Nachman, Idan Regev, and Daniel Tataru, *A Nonlinear Plancherel Theorem with Applications to Global Well-Posedness for the Defocusing Davey-Stewartson Equation and to the Inverse Boundary Value Problem of Calderon.*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.04759 (2017).

GIANMARCO BROCCHI, UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM
email: **G.Brocchi@pgr.bham.ac.uk**

Extras

Why is ν bounded? Consider the case $\nu \neq 1$, otherwise both ν and $1/\nu$ are clearly in L^∞ . Then $\nu := e^{\bar{\partial}^{-1}(q_n \frac{\bar{u}}{u})}$, with $q_n \in L^{p_1} \cap L^{p_2}$. Then

$$\|e^{\bar{\partial}^{-1}(q_n \frac{\bar{u}}{u})}\|_\infty \cong \left\| \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(\bar{\partial}^{-1}(q_n))^k}{k!} \right\|_\infty \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\|\bar{\partial}^{-1} q_n\|_\infty^k}{k!} \lesssim_{p_1, p_2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(\|q_n\|_{p_1} + \|q_n\|_{p_2})^k}{k!}$$

that is finite and it equals $e^{\|q_n\|_{p_1} + \|q_n\|_{p_2}}$.

The embedding $L^2 \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_\infty^{-\frac{1}{3}, 3}$ If

$$\dot{B}_1^{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad (4)$$

then by duality

$$L^2 \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_\infty^{-\frac{1}{3}, 3}.$$

Checking (4) is straightforward, using the following embedding:

Lemma 12. *If $q \leq r$, then $\dot{B}_q^{s,p} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_r^{s,p}$.*

Proof. This follows from the inclusion $\ell^q \hookrightarrow \ell^r$ for $q \leq r$. □

Note that $L^2 \cong \dot{B}_2^{0,2}$. By Lemma 12, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2} &= \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|P_k f\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_k \|P_k f\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_k 2^{2k(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{2})} \|P_k f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} =: \|f\|_{\dot{B}_1^{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used Bernstein's inequality:

$$\|P_k^2 f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2^{kd(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|P_k f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 9. Write the equation $L_q u = f$ as

$$\bar{\partial} u + q_0 \bar{u} + (q - q_0) \bar{u} = f, \quad \text{so that } u = L_{q_0}^{-1}((q_0 - q) \bar{u} + f).$$

Estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\leq \|L_{q_0}^{-1}((q_0 - q)\bar{u})\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|L_{q_0}^{-1}f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim C(q_0)\|(q_0 - q)\bar{u}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + C(q_0)\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim C(q_0)\|q_0 - q\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + C(q_0)\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}$$

If $\|q_0 - q\|_{L^2} \ll C(q_0)^{-1}$, then

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim 2C(q_0)\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Under the above condition, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L_q^{-1}f - L_{q_0}^{-1}f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} &= \|L_{q_0}^{-1}((q_0 - q)\bar{u})\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
(\text{as above}) &\lesssim C(q_0)\|q_0 - q\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim C(q_0)^2\|q_0 - q\|_{L^2}\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that, for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, for q_1, q_2 in a ball $B(q_0, \epsilon)$

$$\|L_{q_1}^{-1} - L_{q_2}^{-1}\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(q_0)^2\|q_2 - q_1\|_{L^2}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Use Littlewood-Paley decomposition and write

$$(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e^{ix\xi}}{|\xi|^\alpha} \psi_j(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^d}$$

where

$$\text{supp}(\psi_j) \subseteq A_j := \{\xi : 2^{j-1} < |\xi| < 2^{j+1}\}. \quad (5)$$

Fix $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and split the sum $\sum = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_0} + \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{+\infty} = I + II$. For the first term

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\lesssim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_0} 2^{(1-j)\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix\xi} \psi_j(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\
&\lesssim_{d,\alpha} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_0} 2^{j(d-\alpha)} \int_{\{|\xi| < 2^{j+1}\}} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi \lesssim_{d,\alpha} 2^{j_0(d-\alpha)} M\hat{f}(0).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term, denote with f^\vee the inverse Fourier transform, then

$$II \sim \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{+\infty} \left(\left(\frac{\psi_j(\xi)}{|\xi|^\alpha} \right)^\vee * f \right)(x) = (K_j * f)(x)$$

Split again the sum in j and bound by $2^{-j\alpha} Mf(x)$. Then the sum is bounded

$$II \lesssim \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{+\infty} 2^{-j\alpha} Mf(x) \lesssim 2^{-j_0\alpha} Mf(x).$$

The first statement follows by taking $\lambda = 2^{-j_0}$, while the second by optimising over λ . \square